

Reaction of Arylethanals with Boron Tribromide

Romain Dupont and Philippe Cotelle*

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Physique, associé au CNRS, ENSCL Université de Lille 1, F-59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

Received 9 July 1998; accepted 10 September 1998

Abstract

Treatment of arylethanals 1 with boron tribromide give 2-phenylnaphthalenes 2 or 1,2,9,10-tetrahydro-1,9-epoxydibenzo[a,e]cyclooctenes 3 by a tandem aldol condensation-intramolecular Friedel-Crafts cyclization or a condensation at the O-position followed by a double Friedel-Crafts alkylation respectively. In all cases, a total demethylation of the methoxy groups occurs. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Boron and compounds, naphthalenes, polycyclic heterocyclic compounds. Friedel-Crafts reactions.

Natural products containing a naphthalene unit often exhibit biological activity[1-5]. As a result, investigation of new methods for the regioselective synthesis of highly substituted naphthalenes have received significant attention in recent years[6-11]. As a part of our studies on the synthesis of new biologically active polyhydroxylated compounds, we have developed a new convenient synthesis of polyhydroxylated 2-phenylnaphthalenes in a one pot procedure from mono-or dimethoxyphenylacetones[12] (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

Fax: 33 (0)320336309; E-mail: cotelle@univ-lille1.fr

In order to determine the scope and the limitation of this reaction and to synthesize a natural 2-phenylnaphthalene derivative (from the Marine sponge *Jaspis sp.*)[13], we have prepared phenylethanals[14].

In the present paper, we report the reaction of arylethanals 1 with boron tribromide. Due to some deceptive results, we also treated 3,4-dimethoxyphenylethanal 1e and 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylethanal 1f with concentrated hydrochloric acid in dioxane.

Whereas arylacetones gave 1,3-dimethyl-2-phenylnaphthalenes in high yields in the presence of boron tribromide, phenylethanals presented a very dissimilar reactivity (Table 1, Scheme 2).

Phenylethanal 1a gave the expected 2-phenylnaphtalene 2a with BBr₃ in low yield whereas methoxyphenylethanals 1b-d gave a large amount of polymers (All attempts to isolate organic material were unsuccessful).

R₂

$$R_1$$
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_4
 R_5
 R_4
 R_5
 R_4
 R_5
 R_4
 R_5
 R_4
 R_5
 R_5
 R_7
 R_8
 R_8

Scheme 2

Table 1 Reaction of arylethanals 1 with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂

Starting material	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	Product	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	Yield ^a
1a	Н	Н	Н	Н	2a	Н	Н	Н	Н	15%
1b	OCH_3	Н	Н	Н	-	-	-	-	-	0%
1c	H	OCH ₃	H	Н	-	-	-	-	-	0%
1d	Н	Н	OCH_3	Н	-	-	-	-	-	0%
le	Н	OCH_3	OCH_3	Н	3e	Н	OH	OH	Н	82% ^b
1f	Н	OCH_3	OCH_3	OCH_3	3f	Н	OH	OH	OH	Traces
1 g	CH=CH-CH=CH		Н	Н	3g	CH=CH-CH=CH		Н	H	33%

a. To a solution of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (25ml) was added at room temperature BBr₃ (1M in CH₂Cl₂, 1 equiv. and 1 additional equiv. for each methoxy group).

Conversely, 3,4-dimethoxyphenylethanal 1e led to 3e and 4e in 82 and 13% yield respectively. The structure of 3e has been established on the basis of elemental analysis, mass spectroscopic

b. Yield of purified compounds[15].

b. 4e was also formed in 13% yield.

and nmr data and comparison with literature spectroscopic data[16,17]. Similarly, 1-naphthylethanal 1g gave 3g in 33% yield. Finally, the reaction of 3,4,5-methoxyphenylethanal 1f with BBr₃ gave the same disappointing result than for 1b-d giving 3f only as traces.

We speculated that the formation of **3e** started by a condensation at the O-position of the enol form and the keto form. The resulting hemiacetal **5** cyclized to give the benzisopyran **6**, which led to **3e** by an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts reaction as previously suggested by Jung[16-17] (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3

Acid treatment of arylethanals may lead to 2-phenylnaphthalenes [16,18] or 1,2,9,10tetrahydro-1,9-epoxydibenzo[a,e]cyclooctenes [16,17,19]. The balance between condensation at O- or C-position depends on the nature of the acid and the substitution on the aryl ring. However with boron tribromide the situation was strongly contrasted. The presence of the methyl group in arylacetones increased the electronic density on the C atom and therefore favored the condensation at the C-position. On the other hand, arylethanals gave poor yields in 2arylnaphthalenes due to the occurrence of the condensation at the O-position. However, the Friedel-Crafts cyclization was only possible when the aromatic ring is sufficiently electronically rich. This is the case with 1e and 1g. In the case of 1f, we speculated that 3f was formed but was rapidly destroyed under the reaction conditions as previously observed[20] with 3,4,5trihydroxyphenyl derivative. In contrast to 1e (see below), 1f when treated with concentrated HCl in dioxane, gave the product of condensation at the O-position, i.e., 5,6,7,13,14,15hexamethoxy-1,2,9,10-tetrahydro-1,9-epoxydibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene in 51% yield. As expected, this compound treated with boron tribromide was almost totally destroyed and 3f can be isolated as traces (<1%).

Since one of our goal was to prepare 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)naphthalene-6,7-diol **2e**, we treated **1e** with concentrated HCl in dioxane. The expected 6,7-dimethoxy-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)naphtalene, obtained in 20% yield, was easily converted to **2e** with BBr₃ in 78% yield.

In this paper, we have presented remarkable aspects of product differentiation in the reaction of arylethanals with boron tribromide compared to the naphthalene synthesis from arylacetones. Influence of solvent polarity, acidity of the reaction medium, substituent effects at the substrate should be examined in order to define the conditions which favored the condensation at the O- or C-position.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Région Nord-Pas-de-Calais.

References and notes

- [1] Mc Rae WD, Yowers GHN Phytochemistry 1984;23:1207-1220.
- [2] Gordaliza M, Del Corral JMM, Castro MA, Lopez-Vasquez ML, Garcia PA, San Feliciano A, Garcia-Gravalos MD Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995;5:2465-2468.
- [3] Kashiwada Y, Bastow KF, Lee KH Bioorg, Mcd. Chem. Lett. 1995;5:905-908.
- [4] Gnabre J, Huang RCC. Bates RB, Burns JJ, Caldera S, Malcomson ME. Mc Clure KJ Tetrahedron 1995;51:12203-12210.
- [5] Eich E, Pertz H, Kaloga M, Schulz J, Fesen MR, Mazumder A, Pommier Y J, Med. Chem. 1996;39:86-95.
- [6] Turnbull P, Moore HW J. Org. Chem. 1995;60:644-649.
- [7] Yadav KM, Mohanta PK, Ila H, Junjappa H Tetrahedron 1996;52:14049-14056.
- [8] a) Tanabe Y, Seko S, Nishii Y, Yoshida T, Utsumi N, Suzukamo G J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1996;2157-2165. b) Nishii Y, Tanabe Y Tetrahedron Lett. 1995;36;8803-8806.
- [9] Karady S, Amato JS, Reamer RA. Weinstock LM Tetrahedron Lett. 1996;37:8277-8280.
- [10] Jamie JF, Rickards RW J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1996;2603-2613.
- [11] De Konig CB, Michael JP. Rousseau AL Tetrahedron Lett. 1997;38:893-896.
- [12] Cotelle P, Catteau JP Tetrahedron Lett. 1997;38:2969-2972.
- [13] Tsukamoto S, Kato H, Hirota H, Fusctani N Tetrahedron 1994;50:13583-13592.
- [14] Coote SJ, Davies SG, Middlemiss D, Naylor A Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1990;1:33-56.
- [15] All new compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic and elemental analytical data.
- [16] Jung ME, Mossman AB, Lyster MA J. Org. Chem. 1978;43:3698-3701.
- [17] Jung ME, Miller SJ J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1981;103:1984-1992.
- [18] Carter HE, Van Loon EJ J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1938;60:1077-1080.
- [19] Kagan J, Chen SY, Agdeppa DA, Watson WH, Zabel V Tetrahedron Lett. 1977:4469-4470.
- [20] Unpublished laboratory observations.